Highway Maintenance Programme Scheme Evaluation Scoring Definitions

Strategic Case

- meets the Council's asset management needs.
- meets the Council's priorities.

<u>Scoring</u>

• to what extent does the scheme meet the strategic needs?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Significant non-alignment with asset management needs and/or Council priorities.
2	Low	There is some non-alignment with asset management needs and/or Council priorities.
3	Reasonable	Overall, the scheme fits well with asset management needs and/or Council priorities.
4	Good	The scheme fits very well with asset management needs and/or Council priorities.
5	Excellent	Scheme complements other schemes or works delivering asset management needs and/or Council priorities, has no negative impacts on other schemes or outcomes and demonstrates 'doing more with less'.

Delivery Case

- scheme is deliverable within the financial year
- scheme is already in development
- scheme is not dependent upon third party issues or challenges
- there is clear evidence of member requests and stakeholder support

<u>Scoring</u>

• to what extent does the scheme meet the delivery needs?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Significant risks in deliverability of scheme in the financial year and/or against member requests and stakeholder support.
2	Low	There are some risks in deliverability of scheme in the financial year and/or limited member requests and stakeholder support.
3	Reasonable	Overall, the scheme is deliverable in the financial year with evidence of member requests and stakeholder support.
4	Good	The scheme is deliverable in the financial year with extensive evidence of member requests and stakeholder support.
5	Excellent	Scheme complements other schemes or works with widespread support, delivers positive outcomes and has no negative impacts on other schemes or outcomes.

Financial & Commercial Case

- Scheme is affordable within the budget available.
- Scheme reduces future costs and does not place a cost burden upon future budgets.
- Scheme is able to be packaged with other schemes to deliver greater value for money.

<u>Scoring</u>

• to what extent does the scheme meet the financial and commercial requirements?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Scheme costs significantly exceed budget, fails to reduce costs and/or creates significant future additional cost pressures.
2	Low	Scheme is not affordable within budget, does not reduce costs and/or creates future additional cost pressures.
3	Reasonable	Overall, the scheme is affordable within the budget available, and does not place a cost burden on future budgets.
4	Good	The scheme is affordable within the budget available and reduces future costs.
5	Excellent	The scheme is affordable within the budget available, reduces future costs and is able to be packaged with other schemes to deliver greater value for money.

Block Priority

• Scheme is a priority within the block taking into account schemes which haven't been delivered in previous years programmes.

<u>Scoring</u>

• to what extent is the scheme a priority?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Scheme is a very low priority when compared to other schemes within the block.
2	Low	Scheme is a low priority when compared to other schemes within the block.
3	Reasonable	Scheme is of equal priority to other schemes within the block.
4	Good	Scheme is a high priority when compared to other schemes within the block.
5	Excellent	Scheme is a high priority, complements other schemes or works, delivers positive outcomes and has no negative impacts on other schemes or outcomes.

Integrated Transport Scheme Evaluation Scoring Definitions

Strategic Case

- meets the Council's Local Transport Plan and Investment Protocol.
- meets the Council's priorities.

Scoring

• to what extent does the scheme meet the strategic needs?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Significant non-alignment with the Council's Local Transport Plan, Investment Protocol and Council priorities.
2	Low	There is some non-alignment with the Council's Local Transport Plan, Investment Protocol.
3	Reasonable	Overall, the scheme fits well with the Council's Local Transport Plan, Investment Protocol.
4	Good	The scheme fits very well with the Council's Local Transport Plan, Investment Protocol.
5	Excellent	Scheme delivers the Council's Local Transport Plan, Investment Protocol and Council priorities, complements other schemes or works, has no negative impacts on other schemes or outcomes and fits with wider transport and government objectives.

Delivery Case

- scheme is deliverable within the financial year
- scheme is already in development
- scheme is not dependent upon third party issues or challenges
- there is clear evidence of member requests and stakeholder support

Scoring

• to what extent does the scheme meet the delivery needs?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Significant risks in deliverability of scheme in the financial year and/or against member requests and stakeholder support.
2	Low	There are some risks in deliverability of scheme in the financial year and/or limited member requests and stakeholder support.
3	Reasonable	Overall, the scheme is deliverable in the financial year with evidence of member requests and stakeholder support.
4	Good	The scheme is deliverable in the financial year with extensive evidence of member requests and stakeholder support.
5	Excellent	Scheme complements other schemes or works with widespread support, delivers positive outcomes and has no negative impacts on other schemes or outcomes.

Economic Case

- Scheme delivers quantifiable economic benefits.
- Scheme delivers quantifiable environmental benefits.
- Scheme delivers quantifiable social benefits.
- Scheme enables casualty reductions/road safety benefits.

<u>Scoring</u>

• to what extent does the scheme meet the economic requirements?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Scheme does not deliver any economic, environmental or social benefits or enable any casualty reductions/road safety benefits.
2	Low	Scheme delivers minimal economic, environmental or social benefits or enables some casualty reductions/road safety benefits.
3	Reasonable	Scheme delivers some economic, environmental or social benefits and enables some casualty reductions/road safety benefits.
4	Good	Scheme delivers good economic, environmental and social benefits and enables good casualty reductions/road safety benefits.
5	Excellent	Scheme delivers excellent economic, environmental and social benefits and enables good casualty reductions/road safety benefits.

Financial & Commercial Case

- Scheme is affordable within the budget available.
- Scheme reduces future costs and does not place a cost burden upon future budgets.
- Scheme is able to be packaged with other schemes to deliver greater value for money.

<u>Scoring</u>

• to what extent does the scheme meet the financial and commercial requirements?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Scheme costs significantly exceed budget, fails to reduce costs and/or creates significant future additional cost pressures.
2	Low	Scheme is not affordable within budget, does not reduce costs and/or creates future additional cost pressures.
3	Reasonable	Overall, the scheme is affordable within the budget available, and does not place a cost burden on future budgets.
4	Good	The scheme is affordable within the budget available and reduces future costs.
5	Excellent	The scheme is affordable within the budget available, reduces future costs and is able to be packaged with other schemes to deliver greater value for money.

Block Priority

• Scheme is a priority within the block taking into account schemes which haven't been delivered in previous years programmes.

<u>Scoring</u>

• to what extent is the scheme a priority?

Assessment		Description
1	Poor	Scheme is a very low priority when compared to other schemes within the block.
2	Low	Scheme is a low priority when compared to other schemes within the block.
3	Reasonable	Scheme is of equal priority to other schemes within the block.
4	Good	Scheme is a high priority when compared to other schemes within the block.
5	Excellent	Scheme is a high priority, complements other schemes or works, delivers positive outcomes and has no negative impacts on other schemes or outcomes.